1	
2	
3	
4	
5	COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY,
6	U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
7	WASHINGTON, D.C.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	DEPOSITION OF: THOMAS WINDOM
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Tuesday, September 30, 2025
19	
20	Washington, D.C.
21	
22	
23	The deposition in the above matter was held in room 2237, Rayburn House Office Building,
24	commencing at 9:59 a.m.
25	Present: Representatives Knott and Crockett.

1	Appearances:
2	
3	
4	
5	For the COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY:
6	
7	, GENERAL COUNSEL
8	, DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL
9	CLERK
10	, DIGITAL DIRECTOR
11	, CHIEF COUNSEL FOR OVERSIGHT
12	, PROFESSIONAL STAFF MEMBER
13	, COUNSEL
14	, MINORITY OVERSIGHT COUNSEL
15	, MINORITY SPECIAL COUNSEL
16	FOR INVESTIGATIONS AND SENIOR ADVISOR
17	, MINORITY GENERAL COUNSEL
18	, MINORITY CHIEF COUNSEL AND SENIOR ADVISOR
19	, MINORITY FELLOW
20	, MINORITY LEGAL INTERN
21	, MINORITY COUNSEL
22	, MINORITY INTERN
23	SENIOR COUNSEL
24	, MINORITY INTERN
25	

1	
2	For the WITNESS:
3	
4	PRESTON BURTON
5	RACHEL LI WAI SUEN
6	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
7	2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
8	Washington, D.C. 20037
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	Good morning. This is the deposition of Mr. Thomas Windom, former senior
3	assistant special counsel. Chairman Jordan has requested this deposition as part of the committee's
4	oversight of the Office of Special Counsel Jack Smith and the inexplicable seizure of a constitutionally
5	elected Member of Congress' cell phone.
6	Mr. Windom previously appeared before the committee for a transcribed interview on
7	June 12th, 2025. Due to Mr. Windom's refusal to answer numerous questions, on July 21st, 2025,
8	the committee issued a subpoena for Mr. Windom to appear at a deposition today, September 30th.
9	I have marked the July 21st, 2025, subpoena as exhibit No. 1.
10	[Windom Exhibit No. 1
11	was marked for identification.]
12	On September 23rd, the committee noticed today's deposition. I've marked
13	the September 23rd notice as exhibit No. 2.
14	[Windom Exhibit No. 2
15	was marked for identification.]
16	. Would the witness please state your name for the record?
17	The <u>Witness.</u> Thomas Windom.
18	<u>could counsel please state your name for the record?</u>
19	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> Yes. Thank you,
20	Preston Burton and Rachel Li Wai Suen on behalf of Mr. Windom.
21	. My name is and I'm with Chairman Jordan's staff. I'll now
22	have everyone else from the committee who is here in the room introduce themselves as well.
23	Mr. with Mr. Jordan's staff.
24	Ms. Chairman Jordan's staff.
25	Ms. Ranking Member Raskin's staff.

ı	, Kanking Member Raskin's Staff.
2	Mr, Ranking Member Raskin's staff.
3	Ms. Chairman Jordan's staff.
4	Ms. , Chairman Jordan's staff.
5	Ms. Ranking Member Raskin's staff.
6	Mr. , Ranking Member Raskin's staff.
7	Ms, Ranking Member Raskin's staff.
8	Ms. Raskin's staff.
9	Ms. , Ranking Member Raskin's staff.
10	Ms. Chairman Jordan's staff.
11	Ms. Chairman Jordan's staff.
12	Ms. Chairman Jordan's staff.
13	Mr. Ranking Member Raskin's staff.
14	Ms. Thank you.
15	I will now review the ground rules and guidelines that we'll follow during today's deposition.
16	The committee will conduct today's deposition in accordance with the House's regulation for
17	the use of deposition authority. This regulation has been presented to you as part of service for the
18	subpoena.
19	Our questioning will proceed in rounds. The majority will ask questions first for 1 hour, and
20	then the minority will have an opportunity to ask questions for an equal period of time if they
21	choose. There will be two staff counsel per side asking the questions. To the extent members
22	attend and have questions for the witness, they will be propounded during their side's respective
23	rounds.
24	The clock will stop if the witness needs to confer with counsel, when counsel for the witness

is speaking, and when members are speaking during the opposite side's round. We'll alternate back

1	and forth until there are no more questions, and the deposition is over.
2	We ordinarily take a short break at the end of each hour, but if you would like to take a break
3	apart from that, please just let us know.
4	As you can see, there is an official court reporter taking down everything we say to make a
5	written record. So we ask that you give verbal responses to all questions.
6	Do you understand?
7	The <u>Witness.</u> I do.
8	So the court reporter can take down a clear record, please try and speak clearly
9	so they can understand and the people at the end of the table can hear you.
10	It's important that we do not talk over one another or interrupt each other if we can help it,
11	and that goes for everybody present here at the deposition.
12	We want you to answer our questions in a complete and truthful manner. If you do not
13	understand one of our questions or need clarification about what we are seeking, please just let us
14	know. If you do not know the answer to a question or do not remember, please let us please tell
15	us what you do know and remember. It is okay to tell us if you learned information from someone
16	else; just indicate how you came to know the information.
17	If there are things you do not know or cannot remember, just say so and please inform us
18	who, to the best of your knowledge, might be able to provide a more complete answer to the
19	question.
20	By law, you are required to answer questions from Congress truthfully. Do you understand
21	that?
22	Mr. <u>Windom.</u> I do.
23	This also applies to questions posed by congressional staff in a deposition. Do
24	you understand this?

Mr. <u>Windom.</u> I do.

1	Witnesses that knowingly provide false testimony could be subject to criminal
2	prosecution for perjury. This includes, for example, stating that you do not recall or remember
3	something when, in fact, you do.
4	Do you understand this?
5	Mr. <u>Windom.</u> Yes.
6	Furthermore, you cannot tell half-truths or exclude information necessary to
7	make statements accurate. You are required to provide all information that would make your
8	response truthful. A deliberate failure to disclose information can constitute a false statement.
9	Do you understand this?
10	Mr. <u>Windom.</u> Yes.
11	Ms. Is there any reason you are unable to provide truthful answers to today's
12	questions?
13	Mr. <u>Windom.</u> No.
14	Ms. The Federal Rules of Evidence, Criminal and Civil Procedure are not applicable
15	for today's deposition. Under the House deposition regulation, a witness' attorney may not instruct
16	a witness to refuse to answer a question, except to preserve a privilege. The House recognizes
17	constitutionally-based privileges and not necessarily those privileges derived from common law.
18	Finally, I will make note for here today that the content of what we discuss is confidential
19	under the House deposition regulation. The chairman and ranking minority member shall consult
20	before any release of testimony, transcripts, including portions thereof. This means it is a violation
21	of House and committee rules to disclose content of the deposition prior to its official release. For
22	this reason, the marked exhibits that we will use today will remain with the court reporter so they
23	can go in the official transcript, and any copies of those exhibits will be returned to us when we wrap
24	up.
25	Before we begin the first round of questions, we will afford the minority, the witness, and

counsel for the witness the opportunity to offer any preliminary remarks.

Mr. I know you came previously voluntarily. So thank you for that, and we appreciate you coming to testify before our committee here today. I know last time you were probably told. I just want to make sure you're aware this is being recorded. So I just want to make you aware there's cameras recording your questions -- your answers here today but thank you.

Ms. Mr. Burton, anything before you begin?

Mr. <u>Burton.</u> Yes, thank you.

I just want to say it is an honor to represent Thomas P. Windom. Mr. Windom is a former Federal prosecutor who served with distinction for more than a decade.

Mr. Windom, with our assistance, has in good faith cooperated with this committee. To that end, my client appeared separately for a voluntary transcribed interview before this committee on June 12th, 2025. During that voluntary interview, Mr. Windom answered questions posed by the committee for over 5 hours, declining to respond only where he and I believed that to do so would violate the law or his ethical obligations.

Unsatisfied, the majority has now compelled him to re-appear under subpoena and did so with a lengthy and inaccurate public letter and press release, inconsistent with its previous request that we maintain the confidentiality of the interview.

It is now plain that the majority is disinterested in pursuing a legitimate legislative inquiry. Majority staff, operating with no meaningful oversight or checks, have engaged in an unserious, performative exercise, convened for political theater. Majority staff have demonstrated no respect for, nor understanding of, my client's obligation to follow the laws, such as the grand secrecy rules -- grand jury secrecy rules, violations of which carry criminal sanctions. Rather, they have intentionally placed him on the horns of an impossible dilemma, forcing him to choose between risking criminal prosecution for violating grand jury secrecy rules or, alternatively, risking criminal prosecution for contempt of Congress.

The Department of Justice as well has abdicated its traditional role. For decades, spanning multiple Republican and Democrat administrations, Department of Justice policy has been to decline to make line prosecutors, such as my client, available for questioning by congressional committees.

The Department has also historically made a representative available for consultation during congressional testimony. For my client, though, the Department has abandoned these longstanding policies. The Department has disclaimed its essential role in promoting respect for the law, including, significantly, safeguarding principles of grand jury secrecy.

It should not go unnoticed that the Department adopted these positions only after unlawfully terminating Mr. Windom's employment and after he filed suit challenging that determination. And, of course, these events are occurring during an unprecedented political era in this country, one in which the Department and the committee are being wielded as tools to exact personal vendettas.

In this respect, the circumstances under which we attempted to engage with the committee on a voluntary basis and in good faith several months ago have changed dramatically. Just 10 days ago, the President publicly ordered the Attorney General of the United States to prosecute certain people he perceives as political enemies, including those he deems responsible for his criminal indictments, all of which provides important context for today's deposition.

My client is not obligated to respond to questions that are not pertinent to a legitimate legislative function. He is also not obligated to respond to inquiries on topics that implicate his First Amendment rights. More importantly, as the Supreme Court, the United States Supreme Court long ago recognized, a basic function of the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution is to protect innocent persons who otherwise might be ensnared by ambiguous circumstances.

Mr. Windom is such a person, though the majority's improper motives are anything other than ambiguous.

Fortunately, the Constitution protects his right to decline to respond to questioning from this committee, especially questions advancing an abuse of legislative power.

Accordingly, and although my client has appeared today as required by law, given the present circumstances, and with great reluctance, I am advising him to invoke his constitutional right to silence, along with his obligations to follow the laws governing grand jury secrecy, and decline to respond to additional questioning by this committee. Further, this inquiry lacks a legitimate legislative purpose and violates my client's First Amendment rights. I'll just note for the record that we've had a number of AUSAs in this Congress and, you know, recognized the boundaries of 6(e), and your client's assertion of where those

and, you know, recognized the boundaries of 6(e), and your client's assertion of where those boundaries are, are outside of where all the other prosecutors set them, including J.P. Cooney, a January 6th prosecutor.

We have a particular interest in the improper conduct of the Justice Department and the FBI with respect to the seizure of Mr. Perry's phone, and we have plenty of legitimate legislative avenues here that we are pursuing. So I'll just note for the record that your assertion that we do not have a legitimate legislative purpose is without merit.

And, you know, as far as the rest of your statement goes, this isn't a forum for, you know, committee staff, you know, to engage in, you know, an argumentative rebuttal of everything you said, but we do not subscribe to your statement.

That being said, are you saying that your client is not going to answer any questions at all today, or is it just 6(e)-related questions?

Mr. <u>Burton.</u> Pose your questions. I think, for the most part, Mr. we'll be declining to respond to the questions.

Mr. Okay. In that case, you know, when individuals come before the committee and assert their Fifth Amendment, we try to strike a balance between asking enough questions to try to understand the contours. Presumably, Mr. Windom can tell us where -- you know, when and where he worked, and, you know, we'll go from there and try to understand the contours without

1	badgering the witness.
2	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> I appreciate that. And, just to be completely upfront, I think, for the most
3	part, I'll be advising him to decline to respond to your questions, but I appreciate your providing that
4	context.
5	Mr. Okay. And I'll just we'll start the clock in terms of the questions.
6	Ms. <u>Crockett.</u> Before you begin, for purposes of the record, Representative Jasmine
7	Crockett, Texas-30, is present.
8	Mr. Good morning. Welcome, Congresswoman.
9	We'll swear the witness in.
10	The Reporter. Would you please raise your right hand.
11	Do you promise and declare under penalty of perjury that the testimony you are about to give
12	will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
13	The <u>Witness.</u> I do.
14	The <u>Reporter.</u> Thank you.
15	
16	EXAMINATION
17	BY :
18	Q Mr. Windom, good morning. Can you tell us about your preparation for today? How
19	many sessions did you have with your counsel?
20	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> I'm going to object to that, Mr. I think you're invading his privilege.
21	Mr. I disagree. You know, depositions happen all the time. We're not asking
22	about the communications that you had with your client. We're asking about the number of
23	preparation sessions and where they occurred.
24	The Witness. I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
25	BY

1	Q	So you're not willing to answer any questions about how you prepared for today?
2	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
3	Q	So your counsel illuminated a number of reasons why you might not respond, including I
4	believe th	e First Amendment, the Fifth Amendment. Are you invoking your Fifth Amendment on
5	the questi	on of preparation for today?
6	А	I respectfully decline to respond for all the reasons stated by my counsel.
7	Mr	r. <u>Burton.</u> And I will add I think your question invades privilege and work product with the
8	client.	
9	Mr	I'm not disputing that your communications with your counsel are privileged.
10	I'm just as	king, if that's the case, to tell us, to identify those communications.
11	Mr	. Burton. Can we go off the record for one moment, please?
12	Ms	Yep. Off the record.
13	[Re	ecess.]
14	Mr	We'll go back on the record.
15	Th	e <u>Witness.</u> Can you repeat your question, please?
16		BY
17	Q	Yes. We're asking you about your preparation for today. Can you tell us what you
18	did to pre	pare?
19	А	I can tell you that I met with my counsel. Beyond that, I decline to respond for the
20	reasons st	ated by my counsel.
21	Q	How many meetings did you have with your counsel?
22	А	I decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
23	Q	And when did those meetings occur?
24	А	I decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
25	Q	We understand the FBI, under the direction of the Justice Department, surveilled

1	Republican Members of Congress during the summer of 2022. Did you have any involvement with
2	that?
3	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
4	Q And that the objectives of the surveillance were to determine daily patterns and identify
5	associates of Republican Members of Congress, and this involved a case that you were working on.
6	Can you tell us about that?
7	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
8	Q And that the Republican Members of Congress were surveilled at their workplace, which
9	is, presumably, the United States Capitol and the Rayburn House Office Building, including other
10	office buildings. Was that something that you authorized?
11	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
12	Q I understand that you worked on the election investigation against President Trump.
13	Was this intended for the purpose of harming him politically?
14	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
15	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> I also object to the assumption embedded in the question.
16	Mr. Which assumption?
17	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> You stated that the investigation was to, I believe, target Mr. Trump. I believe
18	that was your statement. If I misquoted you
19	Mr. It certainly was intended to target Mr. Trump personally or politically.
20	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> I object to your assumption embedded in your question.
21	Mr. Okay.
22	BY
23	Q Was the Justice Department trying to weaken President Trump because he was the chief
24	political rival of the then President Biden?
25	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.

1	Q	Was the point of the investigation to affect the outcome of the 2024 Presidential
2	election?	
3	А	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
4	Q	You were a member of the special counsel's team, correct?
5	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
6	Q	Are you aware of any actions by anyone on the special counsel's team being motivated
7	purely by p	olitical motivations?
8	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
9	Q	Did you have any involvement with the raid of the President's residence in Florida?
10	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
11	Q	What day did you join the special counsel's team?
12	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
13	Q	How long did you work at the Justice Department?
14	Mr.	Burton. You can answer that.
15	The	Witness. My recollection is that I was employed by the Justice Department from around
16	February of	2013 through January through a date in January of 2025.
17		BY
18	Q	And, during your tenure with the Justice Department, where did you work?
19	Mr.	Burton. You can answer through your tenure in Greenbelt.
20	The	Witness. I was initially hired into the United States Attorney's Office for the District of
21	Maryland.	Beyond that, I decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
22	Mr.	
23		BY
24	Q	The last time you were with us, you talked about how you were detailed to the U.S.
25	Attorney's	Office in the District of Columbia to look at investigative threads that came about from

1	vario	various January 6th investigations, and you told us there were other prosecutors who worked with		
2	you.	. Who were those other prosecutors?		
3		Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.	
4		Q	While detailed to the USAO D.C., what investigative threads merited further	
5	inves	tigati	on by you?	
6		Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.	
7		Q	What can you tell us about the conversations you had regarding subpoenaing the	
8	Willa	Willard Hotel?		
9		Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.	
10		Q	How often did you interact with ASAC Tim Thibault and his agents on the squad CR15?	
11		Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.	
12		Q	How many agents surveilled Representative Scott Perry in the summer of 2022?	
13		Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.	
14		Q	Why did the Justice Department deprive Mr. Perry of his cell phone from 9 a.m. to	
15	5 p.m., for a whole workday?			
16		Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.	
17		Q	Were you aware that he told FBI agents that he didn't even know his chief of staff's	
18	number and would need his cell phone in order to contact him?			
19		Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.	
20		Q	How many other Members of Congress were investigated as part of the Arctic Frost	
21	investigation and Jack Smith investigation?			
22		Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.	
23		Q	Were there any other records of Members of Congress that were swept up by a legal	
24	process in your investigation?			
25		Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.	

1	Q	In the special counsel's report, it talks about evidence from congressional staff. Were
2	those congr	essional staff connected only to Congressman Perry?
3	А	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
4	Q	Why did the investigators as part of Arctic Frost and prosecutors at the U.S. Attorney's
5	Office in D.0	C. seize President Trump's and Vice President Pence's cell phones?
6	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
7	Q	Can you tell us about your interactions with the January 6th Committee?
8	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
9	Q	Why did the Justice Department need transcripts from the January 6th Committee?
10	А	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
11	Q	As part of your investigation, how many confidential human sources for the FBI did you
12	talk to?	
13	А	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
14	Mr.	That will conclude our first round.
15	Mr.	
16	Mr.	
17	Mr.	<u>.</u>
18	[Rec	ess.]
19	Mr.	. We can go back on the record at 10:32 a.m. I'll turn it over to the majority
20	for a second	i.
21	Ms.	_ During the first hour, we had a member of the majority come join us from the
22	committee.	I'll have him introduce himself for the record.
23	Mr.	Knott. Brad Knott, North Carolina-13.
24	Mr.	Thank you.
25	So w	ve'll start our hour.

1	Just to reintroduce myself, I'm		
2	Ranking Member Raskin.		
3	Before I get into any questions I may have, I'm going to put a few documents on the record.		
4	Starting with exhibit 3, which will be a press release of an OIG report related to January 6th		
5	confidential sources. I'll hand those out now to the parties.		
6	[Windom Exhibit No. 3		
7	was marked for identification.]		
8	Mr. Exhibit 4 will be a document related to FBI agents at the Capitol that was		
9	produced to this committee by the FBI.		
10	[Windom Exhibit No. 4		
11	was marked for identification.]		
12	Mr. Exhibit 5 will be a tweet from the January 6th Select Committee related to FE		
13	agents deployed to the Capitol on January 6th.		
14	[Windom Exhibit No. 5		
15	was marked for identification.]		
16	Mr. Exhibit 6 will be a Truth Social post by President Trump directed at Attorney		
17	General Pam Bondi.		
18	[Windom Exhibit No. 6		
19	was marked for identification.]		
20	Mr And exhibit 7 will be a New York Times article related to President Trump		
21	firing prosecutors that worked on investigations against him.		
22	[Windom Exhibit No. 7		
23	was marked for identification.]		
24	Mr. And I'll give my co-counsel a second to get those to the parties before we get		
25	started.		

1	I just want to note, I said "the January 6th Select Committee." I meant the select
2	subcommittee. I just want to note that for the record.
3	I want to go back to something during the opening. I want to direct these questions to
4	counsel for Mr. Windom. Can you give us an overview of the authorization letters, if you remember
5	or if you have that handy, that you received from the Department of Justice related to Mr. Windom's
6	testimony before the committee?
7	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> I can. My recollection, Mr. , is that, prior to the June 12th
8	transcribed voluntary interview, we received an authorization letter. I believe that was entered into
9	the record during that testimony. I do not have perfect recall of it, but one of the there was a
10	dispute over the scope of the authorization letter. The letter did instruct Mr. Windom that he was
11	not authorized to violate court orders, Rule 6(e), which is the grand jury secrecy rules, and other
12	laws.
13	Subsequent to this committee's issuance of its subpoena I believe in July, we received on
14	September 4th a followup authorization letter, and it repeated it provided additional commentary
15	on scope, repeated the admonition that Mr. Windom is not authorized to violate Rule 6(e).
16	The Department declined to respond to our request for its position on certain rule certain
17	topics that arose previously and that, in our judgment, implicated Rule 6(e).
18	And the Department also advised us that it would not have a representative present for
19	consultation outside the deposition today.
20	Mr. Thank you.
21	Just to make it clear, when you're saying 6(e), that's in reference to Federal Rules of Criminal
22	Procedure 6(e). Is that correct?
23	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> That is correct.
24	Mr And that rule prevents or prohibits Mr. Windom from sharing any
25	information related to the grand jury investigation of relevance here for this committee. Is that

1	correct?
2	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> I think that the concept is a little there's a little more to what the rule states.
3	I don't have it memorized, but, broadly speaking, Rule 6(e) precludes Department of Justice officials
4	and certain other individuals from disclosing matters occurring before the grand jury. What that
5	term means has been disputed here, but in our judgment, that is broadly defined by the courts,
6	particularly the Federal courts in the District of Columbia, which is the pertinent court for these
7	proceedings.
8	Mr And, just to put a pin on this point, the DOJ authorization letter from
9	September prohibits Mr. Windom from sharing information or testifying about information that falls
10	under Rule 6(e) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Is that correct?
11	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> That is correct. We'll be happy to furnish you with a copy of that letter.
12	After we conclude today, I'm happy to send that to you.
13	Mr. Thank you. Thank you.
14	You also talked a little bit in your opening about Mr. Windom's time of employment at DOJ.
15	BY
16	Q Look, I understand that counsel has advised you to assert your Fifth Amendment here,
17	and so I'm going to ask the question just to understand the scope of the answers you can provide.
18	Can you let us know when was your last day with the Department of Justice?
19	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> I would repeat the instruction.
20	The Witness. I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
21	BY
22	Q Understood.
23	So, Mr. Windom, you have chosen to follow your counsel's advice and assert your Fifth
24	Amendment privilege today, which is your right under our Constitution. In doing so, you're refusing
25	to answer any question asked of you during this deposition. So that means, no matter what I ask,

1	however unfair or presumptive, you're required or it seems like you're going to say the same
2	answer that your attorney has advised you to give. Is that correct?
3	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> He will follow my advice. I don't know if we would necessarily agree with all
4	of your characterizations.
5	Mr. So, no matter what question I'm going to ask, he's going to follow
6	your instructions on how to answer that question. Is that fair to say?
7	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> Certainly with respect to what I understand is the stated purpose of this
8	inquiry.
9	Mr. Okay. Thank you.
10	
11	Q So let's start with exhibit 3, which we provided at the beginning of our hour, which is the
12	OIG January 6th confidential sources press release.
13	So this is dated December 12th, 2024, and in this document, DOJ concludes, and this is a
14	quote, "that we found no evidence in the materials we reviewed or the testimony we received
15	showing or suggesting that the FBI had undercover employees in the various protest crowds or at the
16	Capitol on January 6th," end quote.
17	So, Mr. Windom, would you be willing to answer or able to answer any questions about FBI
18	agents that were deployed to the Capitol on January 6th?
19	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> Same instruction.
20	The Witness. I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
21	BY MR.
22	Q And so, also, are you aware of testimony from FBI Director Christopher Wray before the
23	House Oversight Committee on June 15th of 2021, which was held to actually examine the January
24	6th attack on the U.S. Capitol, where Director Wray said, and this is a quote, "In response to requests
25	from the U.S. Capitol Police, the FBI immediately deployed additional assistance," end quote, to help

If I were to ask questions about that testimony, would you be able to answer questions about the FBI deployment to the Capitol?

Mr. Burton. Same instruction.

The Witness. I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.

6 BY

Q I'm now going to direct you to exhibit 4, which is a document that was produced to this committee by the FBI. So, if I directed you to this document, which was produced to this committee, and asked whether this document makes it abundantly clear that 274 FBI agents responded to the Capitol on January 6th to respond to bomb threats, as well as help secure the inside of the Capitol, you wouldn't answer that question as well. Is that correct?

- A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
- Q Okay.

I would direct you to exhibit 5, which is a tweet from the January 6th Select Subcommittee.

If I asked you, for example, about this outlandish claim that it somehow knew that the FBI deployed agents to the Capitol on January 6th, as though it was new information that Federal law enforcement deployed to secure the Capitol Grounds that was being overrun by a violent mob of rioters, you would give the same answer. Is that correct?

- A For the reasons stated by my counsel, I respectfully decline to respond.
- Q If I asked you whether it appears to you that President Trump is trying to turn the Department of Justice into his personal vengeance squad and is waging an unprecedented and lawless campaign against his perceived political enemies, would you provide the same answer that you've given to my other questions?
 - A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
- Q I'd like to direct you to exhibit 6, which is a Truth Social post from President Trump. If

- showed you this Truth Social post in which President Trump demanded that his Attorney General prosecute his political rivals, in quote, all caps "NOW," end quote, with three exclamation points, calling them, quote, "guilty as hell," end quote, and I asked you whether that shows a President determined to use the historically apolitical Justice Department to exact personal revenge, you would
 - A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
- 7 Ms. <u>Crockett.</u> Can I jump in really quickly.

give the same answer, wouldn't you?

8 Mr. Yes, please.

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Ms. <u>Crockett.</u> Out of curiosity, you stated that you started at the DOJ back in 2013, I believe, and you were there until approximately January of 2025. Speaking very generally as someone who obviously has experience at the DOJ, historically, have you ever known the DOJ to operate solely at the discretion or to be directed by the executive, a.k.a. the President, just generally speaking, not necessarily speaking about this particular post or anything else?
- The <u>Witness.</u> I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
- 15 Ms. Crockett. Go ahead.
- 16 Mr. Thank you.
- 17 BY
 - Q I'll direct you to exhibit 7 now, which is the New York Times article about President Trump firing prosecutors that worked on the investigation against him. If I asked you about President Trump firing career prosecutors for working on these criminal investigations that were into him, into President Trump, and how President Trump emptied out the Public Integrity Section, which is responsible for prosecuting public corruption, and whether that made it clear this President has no respect for the independence of the Department of Justice or the rule of law, would you give the same answer?
 - A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.

1	Q What if I asked you whether it was appropriate for political leadership of the
2	Department of Justice and FBI to protect the President's lieutenant by killing an investigation
3	into an investigation and burying the records of the, quote, border czar Tom Homan taking \$50,000
4	cash bribes in exchange for promising to deliver Federal contracts to undercover FBI agents that were
5	posing as businessmen, would you give the same answer?
6	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
7	Ms. Crockett. Would you define "conspiracy" as being completed once an agreement is
8	made?
9	The Witness. I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
10	BY
11	Q Are you able to discuss President Trump's pattern of protecting his friends, which seems
12	to include blocking the release of critical documents about Jeffrey Epstein's potential co-conspirators
13	including documents about Mr. Epstein's relationship to President Trump? Would you answer
14	questions about that?
15	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
16	Q Do you know why President Trump is so laser-focused on only settling his own personal
17	grudges, protecting his corrupt buddies, and not taking care of keeping American families safe and
18	free? Are you willing to answer any questions about that?
19	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
20	Q And, look, I understand that you worked on the special counsel's report. Are you able
21	to discuss why the Trump Department of Justice is refusing to release volume two of the special
22	counsel's report so that the President can continue to hide his crimes from the American public?
23	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
24	Mr. Windom, thank you. We don't have any additional questions for you.
25	However, we do have several notes for the record.

It's not surprising that you're invoking your Fifth Amendment privilege today in response to the questions asked of you. This congressional investigation is a clear effort to manufacture purported misconduct by the Special Counsel's Office. Moreover, the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure make it clear that you could face consequences if you were to inadvertently share protected material under Rule 6(e).

If our committee truly cared about weaponization of the Department of Justice, we would be investigating all the ways the Department is unleashing state power to go after the President's personal grudges, an unprecedented campaign that attacks the foundational principles of equal and partial justice in America. Instead, the majority continues to distract from the creeping authoritarianism that we're seeing from this administration.

So, in closing, I would just say that we appreciate the work that you did as a career prosecutor for the Department of Justice and will continue to fight for our democracy, which is fragile but durable, and also the rule of law. Thank you for being here.

And we'll go off the record with that.

Mr. We'll go back on the record. It's 10:49.

16 BY

Q Mr. Windom, you're objecting to our questions on a sort of a potpourri of reasons, including the fact that you believe we do not have a legitimate legislative purpose. Now, you may not like our legislative purpose, but it's not a proper objection to decline to answer questions because you believe or your counsel believes we don't have a legitimate legislative purpose.

And so we're going to ask you to state your objections with specificity. If you're objecting to our questions based on preserving a privilege, such as a Fifth Amendment privilege, we're going to ask you to cite that with specificity so we can understand the nature of your refusal to answer.

Do you understand that?

Mr. Burton. I understand your position.

1	BY
2	Q Also, to the extent that you have answered our questions before and have not invoked
3	the Fifth Amendment, you know, there is a fair basis to conclude that, with respect to those
4	questions, the Fifth Amendment has, in fact, been waived. So, you know, we expect that, as it
5	relates to questions we've asked before and you testified to before, that a Fifth Amendment
6	objection is not proper.
7	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> I don't understand your point,
8	anything, but, to be clear, I have advised him to invoke his constitutional right to silence. There are
9	other rights and issues, including grand jury secrecy. We do believe he's not obligated to respond
10	to things that are inconsistent with a legitimate legislative purpose, but the foundation of his decision
11	to decline to answer is his constitutional right to silence embodied in the Fifth Amendment.
12	Does that help you?
13	Mr. Okay. And we would just ask him to cite that with specificity to our questions,
14	as opposed to you know, the statement that he's been providing is sort of you know, referred to
15	a series of objections, a series of reasons.
16	Mr. <u>Burton</u> . I appreciate that. I think the series of reasons is a valid objection. You and I
17	won't agree on that, but one of the reasons is his constitutional right to silence, and that applies to all
18	of them.
19	BY
20	Q Mr. Windom, what positions did you hold at the Department of Justice during your
21	tenure there?
22	A I respectfully decline to respond for all of the reasons cited by my counsel.
23	Q And is part of your reason that you're asserting your Fifth Amendment right against
24	self-incrimination?
25	A I respectfully decline to respond for all of the reasons cited by my counsel.

1	Q	And, again, we're going to ask you with specificity to select, you know, one of these, one
2	of the reaso	ons for the objection. Is this a Fifth Amendment assertion you're making here?
3	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for all of the reasons cited by my counsel.
4	Q	You testified in June about details that you participated in while at the Justice
5	Departmen	t. You stated the first detail was to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of
6	Columbia.	When did that detail begin?
7	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
8	Q	You answered that question in June: "Approximately the beginning of November, kind
9	of middle o	f November, maybe of 2021."
10	The	question is, were you asked to go on that detail, or did you seek out the opportunity?
11	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
12	Q	Now, your Fifth Amendment concerns here, are you concerned that you're going to be
13	prosecuted	for contempt of Congress, or are you concerned that the Justice Department is going to
14	prosecute y	you for violating 6(e) on that question?
15	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
16	Q	Who asked you to go on that detail that I just asked you about?
17	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
18	Q	You testified in June that the initial person that spoke to you about that opportunity was
19	an individu	al named Rush Atkinson in the Office of the Deputy Attorney General. Who is
20	Mr. Atkinso	on?
21	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
22	Q	And I'm just having a hard time understanding what the concern is with answering a
23	question su	ich as like who is Rush Atkinson. I mean, that's not going to get you in trouble with Judge
24	Boasberg for violating 6(e). You know, answering such an anodyne question like that, it's hard for	
25	us to under	stand how that implicates your Fifth Amendment, certainly when you have testified about

1	topics like this before?
2	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
3	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> I will also add, I appreciate your observations about the application
4	of the privilege.
5	President Trump's directives to the Attorney General, which minority counsel entered into
6	the record, a statement by statements by the Department's Weaponization Working Group to
7	target individuals involved in any of the investigations that led to the President's indictments, as wel
8	as this committee's own suggestions that the special counsel investigations were somehow illegal,
9	engaged in improper conduct, all provide sound bases to advise Mr. Windom to invoke his
10	constitutional right to silence and decline to respond to questions about any of these matters.
11	Okay. So is it fair to say that the basis for the declination here is the Fifth
12	Amendment right against self-incrimination?
13	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> That is underscoring all of the issues. There are other objections that I stated
14	in the outset that apply to some of them.
15	Mr. The only proper objection, though, is one to preserve a privilege, such as a
16	constitutionally-based privilege, not one about legitimate legislative purpose. Under the deposition
17	rules, your client, the witness, is still required to answer those questions.
18	Mr. <u>Burton</u> . I think the privilege of his right to remain silent applies to all of them.
19	Mr. Okay.
20	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> So.
21	BY
22	Q There's is another individual you identified in June by the name of Chris Cavanaugh.
23	Who is Mr. Cavanaugh?
24	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
25	Q You testified you had conversations with Mr. Atkinson and Mr. Cavanaugh before your

1	detail bega	n at the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia. Were those the only
2	conversatio	ons you had with Justice Department officials prior to your detail beginning?
3	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
4	Q	And what was the purpose of the detail in November 2021?
5	А	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
6	Q	And, at the time, was an investigation ongoing in November of 2021 when you joined
7	the detail?	
8	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
9	Q	Was the focus of the investigation on the events that occurred on January 6th, 2021, or
10	was it the 2020 election?	
11	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
12	Q	And you said that you began a series of details in the fall of 2021. So we have the one
13	that began	in November of 2021 that you testified about. What was the next detail?
14	А	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
15	Q	And did you ask to be detailed to the Special Counsel's Office, or did someone ask you?
16	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
17	Q	And, for that detail, you indicated that you spoke to Mr. J.P. Cooney, who was in the
18	United Stat	es Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia. Did you speak with anyone else about
19	that opport	unity before accepting it?
20	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
21	Q	When you began your detail at the United States Attorney's Office for D.C. in November
22	of 2021, wh	no was the U.S. attorney at the time?
23	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
24	Q	So testifying that Matt Graves was the U.S. attorney in November 2021 is something
25	that you ca	n't answer here?

1	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
2	Q Even though you testified in June that Matt Graves was the United States attorney at
3	that time?
4	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
5	Q And had you ever worked with Mr. Graves before?
6	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
7	Q You testified that when you arrived at the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of
8	Columbia on detail that there had been investigative information that was developed as part of an
9	ongoing criminal investigation. What was the nature of that investigation?
10	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.
11	Mr. Can we go off the record?
12	[Discussion held off the record.]
13	Mr. We're back on the record.
14	BY
15	Q Mr. Windom, did you receive a letter of authorization for today's testimony from the
16	Justice Department?
17	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> I received a letter. I don't know that Mr. Windom did.
18	BY
19	Q Are you aware of the Justice Department sending a letter authorizing your testimony
20	today?
21	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> Without waiving privilege, you can answer.
22	The Witness. I'm aware that a letter was sent from the Department of Justice to my
23	counsel. Beyond that, I wouldn't be able to say, and I would
24	BY
25	Q Did you read the letter?

1	А	and I would respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.			
2	Q Before you appeared in June, did the Justice Department send you an authorization				
3	letter for your testimony?				
4	Mr.	Burton. That's reflected in correspondence with the committee. I think you know the			
5	answer to that question.				
6	Mr.	Right, but I'm asking him on the record here in the deposition.			
7	The	Witness. I think I can give you a similar answer. I'm aware that a letter was sent from			
8	the Department to my counsel, and beyond that, I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons				
9	stated by m	y counsel.			
10		BY			
11	Q	Did you read the June authorization letter?			
12	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.			
13	Q	How many authorization letters did you get from the Justice Department? Just those			
14	two?				
15	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.			
16	Q	Who is John Crabb?			
17	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.			
18	Q	Did you have any in-person meetings with Attorney General Garland?			
19	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.			
20	Q	Any in-person meetings with Special Counsel Jack Smith?			
21	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.			
22	Q	Where are you currently working?			
23	Α	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.			
24	Q	Are you currently working as a lawyer?			
25	А	I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.			

1	Q You testified today about your service at the U.S. Justice Department as a U.S. attorney				
2	out of the Greenbelt office. Is that correct?				
3	A I believe what I said, and the transcript will reflect this, is that I initially was hired into				
4	the Department of Justice into the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Maryland.				
5	Q Okay. And you were working out of the Greenbelt office?				
6	A Principally.				
7	Q And for what years were you in the U.S. Attorney's Office in Greenbelt, the District of				
8	Maryland?				
9	A I believe that what I testified to earlier was that I was hired into the Department, my				
10	ollection is, around February of 2013, and then my time in the Department concluded on a day in				
11	January of 2025.				
12	Q And how long were you in Greenbelt? Was Greenbelt your only office that you worked				
13	in, in the District of Maryland, or were there other offices?				
14	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.				
15	Q When you were hired in February of 2013, who was the U.S. Attorney for the District of				
16	Maryland?				
17	A When I was hired and began duty in February of 2013, the United States Attorney for				
18	the District of Maryland was Rod Rosenstein.				
19	Q And who succeeded Mr. Rosenstein as the U.S. Attorney in the District of Maryland				
20	while you were in the office?				
21	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> You're not going to back into other questions this way.				
22	I'll instruct you to assert.				
23	The Witness. I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel?				
24	Mr. Okay. I mean, I'm not trying to Mr. Burton, I'm not you know, I'm not				
25	trying to I'm trying to understand the contours of this assertion. I mean, identifying who the U.S.				

- 1 Attorney was in the District of Maryland I don't think is a question that ought to invoke the Fifth
- 2 Amendment when he said that he can talk about things up until his -- you know, he left Greenbelt.

1	[11:12 a.m.]				
2	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> I appreciate your perspective on the issue. You're mostly reading passages				
3	from a voluntary separate proceeding earlier this year, and he's going to give you the same answers				
4	Mr. Castor.				
5	Mr Can you tell us who the U.S. attorney was in the District of Maryland in 2021				
6	before you moved to the D.C. U.S. Attorney's Office?				
7	Mr. <u>Burton.</u> Objection, same instruction.				
8	The Witness. I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.				
9	BY				
10	Q Who is Steven D'Antuono?				
11	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.				
12	Q Have you had any communications with reporters for The Washington Post?				
13	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.				
14	Q Have you had any communications with reporters for other news outlets?				
15	A I respectfully decline to respond for the reasons stated by my counsel.				
16	Mr. With that, we'll conclude our second round. Go off the record.				
17	[Discussion off the record.]				
18	Mr. Let's go back on the record and conclude it. Go back on the record.				
19	Mr. Windom is declining to answer virtually all the questions we're propounding here today,				
20	except for one question about working in the Greenbelt office of the U.S. Attorney's Office of the				
21	District of Maryland. He's asserted the Fifth Amendment as a reason not to answer our questions.				
22	With that, we are going to conclude today's deposition. Thank you for being here today.				
23	Mr. I believe there were several objections made. I would defer to				
24	counsel, but my understanding is that there were several bases made, including the Fifth				
25	Amendment.				

Mr. <u>Burton.</u> Yes. I just wanted to put on the record, again, as counsel for the majority noted, we provided objections based on several bases for the questions being improper, and all of them, including his right to silence, on the basis for our instruction.

Ms. <u>Crockett.</u> I'll add that while the majority may not agree with counsel and the witness, just so that we are clear on the record about the current political environment that we find ourselves in, we just saw just less than a week ago, only a few days ago, that James Comey was indicted and was indicted for lying to Congress.

By all accounts, this is a weak case that looks like an overly aggressive and rogue government going after anyone who is a perceived enemy. Perceived enemies have been laid out, as it relates to this President, as anyone who was actually following the law and doing what they were instructed to do, which was to follow evidence. And so anyone that was engaged in any investigations has now been targeted.

We know that, besides Comey, that there has been a raid on someone's home who was perceived as a political enemy. We know that the President just ordered the firing of a U.S. attorney who refused to indict Letitia James, who he perceives to be a political enemy.

So as far as I'm concerned, as the majority has gone through this process, they are simply seeking out a list of enemies to go after, because it seems like this Department of Justice, this Attorney General are not interested in seeking and following their oath, which is to seek justice, not convictions.

And so I appreciate the difference in opinions as relates to which privileges should be recognized or acknowledged, but I do appreciate what counsel has instructed his witness to do simply because we know that, unfortunately, the law has been twisted in a perverse way in which we are not used to seeing.

Mr. Burton. Thank you.

I was just going to ask, I have a copy to enter as an exhibit, which I believe would be 8, just of

- 1 the statement that I read at the outset. I don't know who I tender that to for these purposes.
- 2 Thank you, Congresswoman.
- 3 [Windom Exhibit No. 8
- 4 was marked for identification.]
- 5 Mr. We'll go off the record.
- 6 [Whereupon, at 11:19 a.m., the deposition was adjourned.]

1	Certificate of D	Deponent/Interviewee				
2						
3						
4	I have read the foregoing	pages, which contain the correct t	ranscript of the answers made			
5	by me to the questions therein recorded.					
6						
7						
8						
9			-			
10		Witness Name				
11						
12						
13			-			
14		Date				
15						
16						